Received 25 November 2005 Accepted 30 November 2005

Online 7 December 2005

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# K. Palani,<sup>a</sup> M. N. Ponnuswamy,<sup>a</sup>\* P. Jaisankar,<sup>b</sup> P. C. Srinivasan<sup>b</sup> and M. Nethaji<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Crystallography and Biophysics, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, <sup>b</sup>Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, and <sup>c</sup>Department of Inorganic and Physical Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India

Correspondence e-mail: mnpsy2004@yahoo.com

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.052 wR factor = 0.145 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved In the title compound,  $C_{16}H_{15}NO_2S$ , the indole group is planar and approximately perpendicular to the sulfonyl-bound phenyl ring; the dihedral angle between the planes is 86.80 (6)°. The molecules form centrosymmetric dimers *via*  $C-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds.

# Comment

Indole compounds can be used as bioactive drugs (Stevenson *et al.*, 2000). Indole derivatives exhibit anti-allergic, central nervous system depressant and muscle relaxant properties (Harris & Uhle, 1960; Ho *et al.*, 1986). Indoles have also been proved to display high aldose reductase inhibitory activity (Rajeswaran *et al.*, 1999). In view of this biological importance, an X-ray study of the title compound, (I), was carried out.



A ZORTEP (Zsolnai, 1997) plot of the molecule is shown in Fig. 1. The relatively long C–N distances in the indole system are due to the electron-withdrawing character of the phenyl-sulfonyl group (Govindasamy *et al.*, 1997, 1998). The widening of the O–S–O and the resultant narrowing of the N–S–C angles (Table 1) from the ideal tetrahedral value are attributed to the Thorpe–Ingold effect (Bassindale, 1984). The dihedral angle between the C10–C15 phenyl ring and the indole ring system is 86.80 (6)°.

In the crystal structure, the molecules form centrosymmetric dimers *via* C-H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 2 and Fig. 2). In addition, a  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interaction involving the pyrrole ring (centroid Cg1), with a Cg1···Cg1(1 - x, 1 - y, -z) distance of 3.594 (2) Å, is observed.

# **Experimental**

A solution of 2-methyl-3-methylindole (4 mmol) in dry tetrahydrofuran (THF, 10 ml) was added slowly to a stirred suspension of 50% sodium hydride (0.24 g, 10 mmol) in dry THF (4 ml) under nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h and cooled to 268 K. A solution of phenylsulfonyl chloride (0.86 ml, 6 mmol) in dry THF (8.0 ml) was then added slowly. The

# organic papers

reaction mixture was stirred at 268 K for 6 h. The solution was then treated with saturated ammonium chloride solution (20 ml) and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with chloroform (4 × 15 ml), and the combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous  $Na_2SO_4$  and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a white oil. This was crystallized from ethyl acetate and hexane (2:8) to give a white crystalline solid (yield 81%, m.p. 413 K). IR (KBr): 1380, 1140 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Z = 2

 $D_x = 1.346 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 2540 reflections  $\theta = 2.1-28.0^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.23 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Block, colourless  $0.23 \times 0.21 \times 0.19 \text{ mm}$ 

3284 independent reflections 2540 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

$$\begin{split} R_{\rm int} &= 0.017\\ \theta_{\rm max} &= 28.0^\circ\\ h &= -10 \rightarrow 10\\ k &= -12 \rightarrow 12 \end{split}$$

 $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$ 

#### Crystal data

$C_{16}H_{15}NO_2S$	
$M_r = 285.35$	
Triclinic, P1	
a = 7.8800 (13)  Å	
b = 9.6020 (16) Å	
c = 9.9864 (17)  Å	
$\alpha = 82.210 \ (3)^{\circ}$	
$\beta = 81.487 \ (3)^{\circ}$	
$\gamma = 71.087 \ (3)^{\circ}$	
V = 703.8 (2) Å <sup>3</sup>	

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-
detector diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\min} = 0.948, T_{\max} = 0.957$
8276 measured reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0803P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$	+ 0.1221P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.145$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.04	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
3284 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
183 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.19 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (A °)		
Sciectical geometric parameters (A.	).	

S1-O2	1.4166 (16)	S1-C10	1.760 (2)
S1-O1	1.4203 (16)	N1-C5	1.415 (2)
S1-N1	1.6577 (16)	N1-C2	1.436 (2)
O2-S1-O1	119.53 (10)	C5-C4-C9	119.3 (2)
N1-S1-C10	105.17 (8)	C6-C5-C4	121.7 (2)
C16-C2-C3-C4	178.6 (2)	C17-C3-C4-C5	178.2 (2)

Table 2	2
---------	---

Uvdrogon bond	acomotru	(Å	0)
Tryurogen-bonu	geometry	(л,	

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
C6-H6···O1	0.93	2.36	2.938 (3)	120
$C6-H6\cdots O1^{i}$	0.93	2.54	3.317 (3)	141
$C15-H15\cdots O2$	0.93	2.58	2.932 (3)	103

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

H atoms were placed in idealized positions and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with C–H = 0.93 or 0.96 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2$  or  $1.5U_{eq}(C)$ .

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics:



#### Figure 1

The structure of (I), showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-labelling scheme. Dashed lines indicate  $C-H\!\cdots\!O$  hydrogen bonds.



#### Figure 2

The crystal packing of (I), showing  $C-H\cdots O$  hydrogen-bonded (dashed lines) dimers.

*ZORTEP* (Zsolnai, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

KP thanks the University Grants Commission (UCG) Herbal Science Programme for financial support under the 'University with Potential for Excellence' scheme. The UGC and the Department of Science and Technology (DST) are gratefully acknowledged for financial support to the Depart-

# ment of Crystallography and Biophysics under the UGC-SAP and DST-FIST programmes.

# References

- Bassindale, A. (1984). *The Third Dimension in Organic Chemistry*, ch. 1, p. 11. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Bruker (2001). SAINT (Version 6.28a) and SMART (Version 5.625). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Govindasamy, L., Velmurugan, D., Ravikumar, K. & Mohanakrishnan, A. K. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 929–931.
- Govindasamy, L., Velmurugan, D., Ravikumar, K. & Mohanakrishnan, A. K. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 635–637.

- Harris, L. S. & Uhle, F. C. (1960). J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 128, 353-363.
- Ho, C. Y., Haegman, W. E. & Perisco, F. (1986). J. Med. Chem. 29, 118-121.
- Rajeswaran, W. G., Labroo, R. B., Cohen, L. A. & King, M. M. (1999). J. Org. Chem. 64, 1369–1371.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Stevenson, G. I., Smith, A. L., Lewis, S., Michie, S. G., Neduvelil, J. G., Patel, S., Marwood, R., Patel, S. & Castro, J. L. (2000). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 10, 2697–2704.
- Zsolnai, L. (1997). ZORTEP. University of Heidelberg, Germany.